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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The Manuscript is significant to both researchers and policy makers since it provides in-depth understanding of how regional economic indicators affect foreign direct investment. It demonstrates the significance of FDI in a state’s economy and its role in increasing GDP. Recommends the need to use technology in identifying viable investment zones that attracts FDI since FDI goes a long way in boosting industrialization, macroeconomic performance and strengthen domestic investment. | Thank you for your positive and constructive feedback. We appreciate your recognition of the manuscript’s relevance to both researchers and policy makers. We fully agree on the importance of FDI in supporting GDP growth, industrialization, and domestic investment. The proposed use of AI through the Green Investment Command Center (GICC) reflects our commitment to leveraging technology for identifying sustainable and attractive investment zones. Thank you once again for your valuable input. |
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| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The researcher demonstrated quality English and been able to communicate with clarity hence the article is suitable for scholarly communication. The command of English language is satisfactory. | Thank you for your positive feedback. We are pleased that the language quality and clarity of the manuscript meet scholarly standards. Your acknowledgment is greatly appreciated. |
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